UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 ERIKSEN RAUL LEIVA,

Case No.: 2:15-cv-01265-JAD-PAL

Order Dismissing and Closing Case

BRIAN WILLIAMS, et al.,

Petitioner

Respondents

8

9

11

4

5

6

7

This is a pro se 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition for a writ of habeas corpus. The court granted respondents' motion to dismiss in part, concluding that certain grounds were unexhausted¹ The court gave petitioner Eriksen Raul Leiva 30 days to either: (1) inform this court in a sworn 12 declaration that he wished to formally and forever abandon the unexhausted grounds for relief in 13 his federal habeas petition and proceed on the exhausted grounds; OR (2) inform this court in a 14 sworn declaration that he wished to dismiss this petition without prejudice in order to return to 15 state court to exhaust his unexhausted claims; OR (3) file a motion for a stay and abeyance, asking this court to hold his exhausted claims in abeyance while he returned to state court to exhaust his unexhausted claims. The court expressly warned Leiva that failure to take one of 18 these actions by the 30-day deadline would result in his petition being dismissed without 19 prejudice and without further notice.²

20

17

21

22

23||1 ECF No. 16.

 2 Id.

Leiva filed a motion for stay and abeyance.³ On February 22, 2018, the court denied the motion for stay.⁴ The court then gave Leiva 30 days to (1) inform this court in a sworn declaration that he wished to formally and forever abandon the unexhausted grounds for relief in his federal habeas petition and proceed on the exhausted grounds; OR (2) inform this court in a sworn declaration that he wished to dismiss this petition without prejudice in order to return to state court to exhaust his unexhausted claims.⁵ More than the allotted time has passed, and Leiva has failed to respond this court's order in any manner. Accordingly, the court dismisses this petition without prejudice for failure to follow the court's order.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this petition is DISMISSED without prejudice. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that a certificate of appealability is denied.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk is directed to ENTER JUDGMENT accordingly and CLOSE THIS CASE.

U.S. District Judge A. Dorsey

⁴ ECF No. 23.

⁵ *Id*.